

Hey all, looks like a working anomaly with the traffic tickets run through a court.

In September I received a couple of minor speeding tickets from a roadside police 4 wheel drive with the automatic radar camera. Was of a night time with hardly any travellers, no other cars seen in photo. I settled it using conditional acceptance and accepted as value. I have heard rumours about the conditional acceptance but it may have been the quality of my paper work at the time (quality has since improved). As Rob Menard says "accepted as value makes more sense than accepted for value". Heck - why not chuck both in there reinforce your point!

Here's how I handled it to zero the account:

Seen a flash from the roadside 4 wheel drive
Received the ticket in the mail
Sent a letter of conditional acceptance
Received a letter from the traffic camera office saying they note I wish to contest the matter and will issue a court summons.
Registered post documents arrive at post office for my strawman collection (mail directed at strawman - it's a trap - criminal offence to take strawman's registered mail). Refused to collect the letters from the post office as I'm not that person (strawman).
Receive notification from State Penalties Enforcement Registry saying the matter has been heard in the court and here's the order (order? - give 'em a bill like a drive through fast food!). Note: no license points were mentioned but the fine was increased.
I go to court office with the paperwork to find out some more see if there actually is an order signed by the magistrate - court office can't produce any such document.
I get a photo copy of the court summons for my own record.
I hand the court orders from SPER with the accepted as value writing on it *more on this below*
Two weeks later I rang up SPER asking what's going on and that I've paid the orders. They said the fine is outstanding. They make further threats and offer a remedy to take the matter up with the court and will refrain from threats while ever I sort out the matter. I mentioned about talking to someone higher up (such as the treasurer) or with the police to settle and close the matter they said that's ok.
I then ring up the court the guy in the office says there are no outstanding fines for that name and to inform SPER of the court status.
Will contact SPER in the morning in business hours to let them know that there is no outstanding balance and for SPER to check with the court. You may also want to consider using superannuation funding to close any cases - you can't touch that money but its your strawmans and it may not necessarily be there or be as valueable when you retire!

That's my experience with it so far!

Accepted as value: write everything with a red pen diagonally across the page on the offer and again on the tearaway payment slip section (total two copies of writing), with anything in brackets omitted:

Accepted as value / accepted for value and returned for value as consideration for settlement and closure of the account

Signed:
Date:
[tax file number]

Hand it in at the court office. If office worker seems confused, tell them to hand it to someone higher up. The office worker may insist they don't know what to do with the document but insist they hand it to someone superior. Superior stamps it and says they will file it. Office worker says I'll hear back from SPER.

Since there is no real money in circulation to extinguish the debt (you can't pay a debt with a debt!) you can use justabout anything to pay! You are effectively giving them a (promissory note) promise to pay in gold / silver when real currency becomes available.

Your tax file number is just a customer reference number between your strawman (which you bring to life during tax time) and the tax office.

There may be an increase in arrogance and tyranny at the moment due to war and new world order games so never argue and use the accepted as value to pay - they may only want numbers circulating at the end of the day whether its your earned numbers (money out of your paycheck) or your written numbers (accepted as value). I believe the same would go for the tax office. You don't really want to be feeding the beast that destroys but silence / playing along with the beast is consent too!

I have found that the police (issuing party), courts, SPER (admit to being a debt collector function only), QLD Transport (recording / collection function for fines / licenses), are all different entities!

If you want to learn some more about law basics, check out Rob Menard's "Bursting Bubbles Of Government Deception" and "The Magnificent Deception". Has some Canada specific acts but generic content applies anywhere.

Hope this is of some help,

Andrew

Recent final outcome:

The fines mentioned above, I was only asked to pay the court costs. The fines were no longer there. I simply paid the court costs for a bit of convenience, and due to my error in paperwork at the time..